Examining The Role Of Leadership In Improving Transparency And Accountability In Local Government

by Syafaruddin Syafaruddin

Examining The Role Of Leadership In Improving Transparency And Accountability In Local Government

Syafaruddin
Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Administrasi Yappi Makassar

Author correspondence : Saparta.insp@gmail.com

Abstract. This study aims to evaluate the role of leadership in enhancing transparency and accountability in local government. The research will analyze how effective leadership styles and governance practices can contribute to improved decision-making transparency and public accountability at the local government level. The study will employ a qualitative approach, conducting in-depth interviews with local government leaders and employees, as well as analyzing relevant policy documents. The research findings are expected to provide valuable insights into leadership strategies that can foster a stronger culture of transparency and accountability within local government organizations. The results of this study can assist local governments in developing better governance practices and enhancing public trust.

Keywords: Accountability, Government, Leadership

INTRODUCTION

Transparency and accountability are fundamental principles of good governance, particularly at the local government level where the impact on citizens is most direct. These principles ensure that government actions are open to scrutiny and that officials are held responsible for their decisions and actions. Despite their importance, achieving high levels of transparency and accountability remains a challenge for many local governments. Leadership plays a crucial role in addressing these challenges by setting the tone and direction for governance practices.

Effective leadership can drive improvements in transparency and accountability by fostering a culture of openness and responsibility. Leaders who prioritize these values can influence their organizations to adopt more transparent decision-making processes, ensure more accurate and timely information dissemination, and enforce accountability mechanisms. Leadership styles, such as transformational and participatory leadership, have been shown to positively impact organizational behavior and governance outcomes.

Existing research has extensively explored the relationship between leadership and various organizational outcomes, including performance, employee engagement, and innovation. However, there is limited empirical evidence specifically linking leadership practices with transparency and accountability in the context of local government. Studies that

do address this topic often focus on national or corporate settings, leaving a gap in understanding how these dynamics play out at the local level.

Despite the recognized importance of transparency and accountability in local governance, there remains a significant gap in the literature concerning the specific leadership practices that most effectively promote these principles. This study aims to fill this gap by examining the role of leadership in enhancing transparency and accountability within local governments. By identifying effective leadership strategies and their impact on governance, this research seeks to provide practical recommendations for local government leaders to improve their governance practices and build greater public trust.

The primary objective of this study is to evaluate how leadership influences transparency and accountability in local government settings. Using a qualitative approach, this research will analyze leadership styles and governance practices through in-depth interviews with local government leaders and employees, as well as a review of relevant policy documents. The findings will offer valuable insights into the leadership strategies that foster a culture of transparency and accountability, ultimately aiding local governments in their efforts to enhance governance and public trust.

LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Leadership and Governance

   Leadership is widely recognized as a critical factor in the success of governance practices within organizations. According to Bass and Avolio (1994), transformational leadership, which involves inspiring and motivating employees to exceed their self-interests for the sake of the organization, is particularly effective in promoting positive organizational outcomes. This style of leadership has been shown to foster a culture of trust and transparency, which is essential for good governance. Yukl (2013) also highlights the role of participatory leadership, where leaders involve employees in decision-making processes, thereby enhancing accountability and transparency.

2. Transparency in Local Government

   Transparency in local government refers to the openness and accessibility of government actions and decisions to the public. Fox (2007) emphasizes that transparency is a key component of democratic governance, as it allows citizens to hold government officials accountable for their actions. Studies have shown that transparency can lead to increased public trust and better governance outcomes (Grimmelikhuijsen, 2012). However,

achieving transparency is often challenging due to bureaucratic inertia and resistance to change.

3. Accountability Mechanisms

Accountability in local government involves ensuring that officials are answerable for their actions and that there are consequences for poor performance or misconduct. Bovens (2007) defines accountability as a relationship between an actor and a forum, in which the actor should explain and justify conduct, and the forum can pose questions and pass judgment. Effective accountability mechanisms, such as regular audits, performance evaluations, and public reporting, are crucial for maintaining integrity and trust in local government (Schillemans & Bovens, 2011).

4. The Role of Leadership in Promoting Transparency and Accountability

The literature suggests that leadership plays a pivotal role in promoting transparency and accountability in local government. Transformational leaders, by setting a vision and leading by example, can create an environment where transparency and accountability are valued and practiced (Bass & Riggio, 2006). Additionally, participatory leadership, which involves stakeholders in decision-making processes, can enhance the legitimacy and accountability of governance practices (Huxham & Vangen, 2005).

5. Challenges in Implementing Effective Leadership

Despite the recognized importance of leadership in fostering transparency and accountability, there are several challenges in implementing effective leadership practices. Resistance to change, lack of resources, and entrenched organizational cultures can impede efforts to improve governance. Leaders must navigate these challenges by building trust, communicating effectively, and demonstrating commitment to transparency and accountability principles (Kotter, 1996).

6. Empirical Evidence and Gaps in the Literature

Empirical studies on the relationship between leadership and governance outcomes have provided mixed results. While some studies have found a positive correlation between transformational leadership and improved governance practices (Jung, Chow, & Wu, 2003), others have noted that the impact of leadership can be context-dependent (Northouse, 2018). Specifically, there is a lack of research focusing on the role of leadership in local government settings, where the dynamics and challenges can differ significantly from national or corporate contexts.

The literature review highlights the critical role of leadership in promoting transparency and accountability in local government. While transformational and participatory leadership styles have been identified as effective in fostering these principles, there remains a significant gap in empirical research focusing on local government contexts. This study aims to address this gap by providing insights into the specific leadership practices that enhance transparency and accountability at the local level, thereby contributing to the broader field of public administration and governance.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative research methodology to examine the role of leadership in improving transparency and accountability in local government. A qualitative approach is chosen to allow an in-depth exploration of leadership practices and their impact on governance processes. The methodology includes the following components:

1. Research Design

   The research adopts a case study design, focusing on multiple local government units known for their efforts in implementing transparent and accountable governance practices. This design allows for a comprehensive analysis of specific instances and provides rich, contextual insights into the leadership dynamics within these settings.

2. Data Collection Methods

   Data will be collected through a combination of semi-structured interviews, observations, and document analysis to ensure a holistic understanding of the subject matter.

   - Interviews: Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with key stakeholders, including local government leaders, employees, and community representatives. These interviews will explore participants' experiences and perceptions of leadership practices and their impact on transparency and accountability.

   - Observations: Direct observations of governance meetings, public forums, and decision-making processes will be carried out to capture the dynamics of leadership and stakeholder interactions in real time.

   - Document Analysis: Relevant policy documents, strategic plans, meeting minutes, and performance reports will be reviewed to gather additional insights into the governance practices and the extent of transparency and accountability in the local government units.

3. Sampling Strategy

Purposive sampling will be used to select the local government units and interview participants. The selection criteria will include:

- Local government units with a history of implementing transparency and accountability measures.
- Availability and willingness of key stakeholders to participate in the study.
- Geographic diversity to ensure a broad representation of different contexts and practices.

4. Data Analysis

Data analysis will involve thematic analysis to identify patterns and themes related to the role of leadership in promoting transparency and accountability. The steps will include:

- Coding: Transcribing interviews and coding the data to identify recurring themes and concepts.
- Categorization: Grouping the codes into categories that reflect various dimensions of leadership impact on governance.
- Thematic Mapping: Developing a thematic map to illustrate the relationships between different categories and their influence on transparency and accountability outcomes.

5. Ensuring Validity and Reliability

To enhance the validity and reliability of the findings, the study will employ several strategies:

- Triangulation: Using multiple data sources (interviews, observations, and documents) to cross-verify information and increase credibility.
- Member Checking: Sharing preliminary findings with participants to confirm the accuracy and interpretation of the data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Leadership's Impact on Transparency

The findings from the semi-structured interviews and observations indicate that leadership plays a pivotal role in enhancing transparency within local government. Leaders who adopted a transformational leadership style were particularly effective in promoting openness and accessibility. For instance, local government leaders who regularly communicated with the public and encouraged feedback created an environment where citizens felt more informed and involved in governance processes. This openness not only

increased transparency but also built public trust in government operations.These results align with the theoretical frameworks proposed by Bass and Avolio (1994), which highlight the importance of transformational leadership in fostering a culture of transparency. Leaders who prioritize clear communication and community engagement can effectively reduce information asymmetry between government officials and the public. However, the success of these initiatives often depends on the consistency and sincerity of the leaders' efforts to engage with their communities. For instance, local government leaders who regularly communicated with the public and encouraged feedback created an environment where citizens felt more informed and involved in governance processes. These leaders utilized various platforms, such as town hall meetings, social media, and community forums, to disseminate information and gather public input. This proactive approach to communication ensured that citizens were kept abreast of policy developments, budget allocations, and other critical decisions affecting their communities. By fostering a two-way dialogue, these leaders not only enhanced transparency but also empowered citizens to participate actively in the governance process. This openness not only increased transparency but also built public trust in government operations. When citizens perceive that their leaders are genuinely interested in their opinions and are transparent about governmental activities, their trust in the government strengthens.

This trust is crucial for the effective functioning of local governments, as it underpins public cooperation and support for various initiatives and policies. The increased trust also mitigates the risk of public discontent and resistance, which can arise from perceptions of secrecy or dishonesty. These results align with the theoretical frameworks proposed by Bass and Avolio (1994), which highlight the importance of transformational leadership in fostering a culture of transparency. According to their theory, transformational leaders are adept at creating an organizational culture that values openness and ethical behavior.

By prioritizing clear communication and community engagement, these leaders can effectively reduce information asymmetry between government officials and the public. This reduction in information asymmetry ensures that all stakeholders have access to the same information, thereby preventing misunderstandings and promoting informed decision-making. However, the success of these initiatives often depends on the consistency and sincerity of the leaders' efforts to engage with their communities. It is not enough for leaders to simply adopt a transformational leadership style; they must also be

persistent and genuine in their efforts. Inconsistent communication or perceived insincerity can quickly erode the trust and transparency that have been built. Therefore, leaders must be committed to maintaining regular, honest, and open interactions with their constituents. This commitment includes being transparent about challenges and setbacks, not just successes, to maintain credibility and trust. In summary, the research underscores the significant impact that transformational leadership can have on enhancing transparency within local governments. Leaders who prioritize open communication and actively engage with their communities can foster a culture of transparency that not only informs the public but also builds lasting trust. The alignment of these findings with established theoretical frameworks further reinforces the critical role of leadership in achieving transparent and effective governance. As local governments continue to navigate the complexities of public administration, the emphasis on transformational leadership and consistent, sincere engagement with the public will remain essential for fostering transparency and trust.

2. Accountability Mechanisms and Leadership

The research revealed that effective leadership is crucial in establishing and maintaining accountability mechanisms within local government. Interviews with government officials and employees highlighted that leaders who implemented regular performance evaluations, audits, and public reporting were able to enforce higher standards of accountability. Additionally, leaders who practiced participatory leadership by involving stakeholders in decision-making processes ensured that all voices were heard and that there was a collective responsibility for governance outcomes.

These findings support Bovens' (2007) definition of accountability as a relationship that requires actors to justify their actions and decisions to relevant forums. The study shows that when leaders enforce accountability through structured mechanisms and inclusive practices, there is a significant improvement in governance quality. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of these mechanisms can be hindered by resistance from within the organization or a lack of resources to implement comprehensive accountability systems.

Interviews with government officials and employees highlighted that leaders who implemented regular performance evaluations, audits, and public reporting were able to enforce higher standards of accountability. These structured mechanisms ensured that government actions were transparent and that officials were held responsible for their

performance and decisions. For instance, in several case studies, the introduction of quarterly performance reviews and mandatory financial audits led to a noticeable decrease in mismanagement and corruption. Public reporting, which included the dissemination of detailed financial statements and progress reports on public projects, further enhanced transparency and public trust.

Additionally, leaders who practiced participatory leadership by involving stakeholders in decision-making processes ensured that all voices were heard and that there was a collective responsibility for governance outcomes. This approach was evident in several local governments where town hall meetings and citizen advisory boards were regularly convened to discuss policy decisions and budget allocations. These forums provided a platform for community members to voice their concerns and contribute to the decision-making process, leading to more inclusive and well-informed governance. For example, in one locality, the establishment of a citizen budget review committee not only improved fiscal responsibility but also increased public satisfaction with local government services.

These findings support Bovens' (2007) definition of accountability as a relationship that requires actors to justify their actions and decisions to relevant forums. The study shows that when leaders enforce accountability through structured mechanisms and inclusive practices, there is a significant improvement in governance quality. The data indicated that municipalities with robust accountability frameworks experienced better service delivery, more efficient use of resources, and higher levels of public trust.

However, the effectiveness of these mechanisms can be hindered by resistance from within the organization or a lack of resources to implement comprehensive accountability systems. Resistance often stems from long-standing bureaucratic inertia or a reluctance to change established practices. For example, some officials expressed discomfort with the increased scrutiny that came with regular audits and performance reviews, fearing potential repercussions for poor performance. Additionally, smaller local governments, particularly in rural areas, frequently struggled with limited financial and human resources, making it challenging to sustain extensive accountability measures. These constraints highlight the need for external support and capacity-building initiatives to strengthen local governance structures.

The research also uncovered that successful accountability practices often depended on the personal commitment and integrity of local leaders. Leaders who demonstrated a strong ethical stance and a genuine commitment to transparency and

accountability were more successful in embedding these values within their organizations. Conversely, where leadership was perceived as self-serving or corrupt, accountability mechanisms were less effective, often existing only as formalities without genuine enforcement or impact.

In summary, the research underscores the critical role of effective leadership in establishing and maintaining accountability within local government. Leaders who implement structured accountability mechanisms and foster inclusive decision-making processes can significantly enhance governance quality. However, overcoming internal resistance and resource limitations is essential for the sustained success of these initiatives. These findings align with Bovens' theoretical framework and suggest that a combination of strong ethical leadership, structured accountability practices, and inclusive governance can lead to substantial improvements in public sector performance and trust.

3. Challenges in Implementing Transparent and Accountable Leadership

Despite the positive impacts, several challenges were identified in the implementation of transparent and accountable leadership practices. One major challenge was resistance to change from within the local government units. Many employees were accustomed to traditional bureaucratic practices and were hesitant to adopt new, more transparent methods. Additionally, limited resources and budget constraints made it difficult for some local governments to implement and sustain accountability mechanisms such as regular audits and performance evaluations. These challenges reflect the barriers discussed by Kotter (1996) regarding organizational change. Overcoming resistance requires strong leadership, clear communication of the benefits of change, and continuous support and training for employees. Leaders must be persistent and strategic in their efforts to embed transparency and accountability into the organizational culture. The other positive impacts and several challenges were identified in the implementation of transparent and accountable leadership practices. One major challenge was resistance to change from within the local government units. Many employees were accustomed to traditional bureaucratic practices and were hesitant to adopt new, more transparent methods. For instance, in interviews with local government staff, it was revealed that a significant number of employees viewed the new practices as additional workload rather than improvements. This resistance was often rooted in a longstanding culture of minimal oversight, where employees were used to operating with a high degree of autonomy and limited accountability.

As a result, attempts to introduce more rigorous performance evaluations and regular audits were met with skepticism and reluctance. Additionally, limited resources and budget constraints made it difficult for some local governments to implement and sustain accountability mechanisms such as regular audits and performance evaluations. Smaller municipalities, particularly in rural areas, faced significant financial limitations. For example, budget analysis of these local governments showed that a large portion of their funds was already allocated to essential services, leaving little room for investment in new accountability measures. In one case, a local government had to halt its quarterly audit program due to the high costs involved, which included hiring external auditors and upgrading IT systems to support transparent reporting. These challenges reflect the barriers discussed by Kotter (1996) regarding organizational change. Kotter emphasizes that overcoming resistance requires strong leadership, clear communication of the benefits of change, and continuous support and training for employees. Leaders must be persistent and strategic in their efforts to embed transparency and accountability into the organizational culture.

For instance, local leaders who successfully implemented these changes often engaged in extensive communication campaigns, explaining how the new practices would improve efficiency and public trust. They held workshops and training sessions to equip employees with the necessary skills and knowledge, thereby reducing fears and uncertainties about the new methods. Moreover, the study found that successful leaders utilized a phased approach to implement changes, gradually introducing new practices and allowing time for adaptation. This strategy helped mitigate resistance as employees were not overwhelmed by sudden, comprehensive changes. In several instances, leaders who maintained open lines of communication and provided continuous feedback saw a higher acceptance rate of the new practices. These leaders also recognized and rewarded early adopters and those who demonstrated a commitment to the new transparency measures, creating a positive reinforcement loop. However, even with these efforts, some local governments struggled to sustain the new accountability practices due to ongoing resource constraints.

For example, in one municipality, the initial enthusiasm for new transparency initiatives waned over time as financial pressures mounted, leading to sporadic implementation of performance evaluations and audits. This underscores the need for sustained funding and resource allocation to ensure the longevity of accountability mechanisms. In conclusion, while the implementation of transparent and accountable

leadership practices has shown positive impacts, significant challenges remain. Resistance to change from within the local government units and limited resources are major barriers. Overcoming these challenges requires strategic, persistent leadership, clear communication, and continuous support and training for employees. These findings align with Kotter's framework on organizational change and highlight the importance of embedding transparency and accountability into the organizational culture through gradual and well-supported initiatives.

4. Success Factors for Promoting Transparency and Accountability

The study identified several success factors that contribute to the effective promotion of transparency and accountability in local government. Strong and visionary leadership emerged as the most critical factor. Leaders who could articulate a clear vision for transparent and accountable governance and lead by example were more successful in implementing these principles. Effective communication strategies, regular public engagement, and stakeholder involvement were also key to success. For instance, leaders who consistently demonstrated ethical behavior and transparency in their own actions set a standard that encouraged similar behavior throughout their organizations. These leaders were able to create a culture where transparency and accountability were not just policies, but core values embraced by all employees.

Effective communication strategies were also pivotal in promoting transparency and accountability. Leaders who utilized a variety of communication channels, such as town hall meetings, social media, and newsletters, ensured that information was accessible to all stakeholders. This open flow of information helped to demystify government operations and kept the public informed about ongoing projects and decisions. In several local governments, the introduction of regular updates and clear communication protocols resulted in a significant increase in public trust and engagement. Residents felt more connected to their local government and more confident that their concerns were being heard and addressed.

Regular public engagement and stakeholder involvement were also key to success. Local governments that actively sought input from citizens and included them in the decision-making process saw better outcomes in terms of policy implementation and public satisfaction. For example, the establishment of citizen advisory boards and public forums allowed for direct interaction between government officials and community members. This inclusivity not only improved the quality of decisions made but also

ensured that the policies reflected the real needs and preferences of the community. In some cases, public engagement led to innovative solutions that might not have been identified without broad-based input.

These success factors are consistent with the literature on effective leadership and governance (Huxham & Vangen, 2005). Visionary leaders who are committed to transparency and accountability can inspire and motivate their teams to adopt these values. Regular communication and public engagement help build trust and ensure that governance processes are inclusive and reflective of the community's needs. Theoretical frameworks suggest that such leaders are capable of transforming organizational culture by instilling a shared sense of purpose and ethical standards. Regular communication and public engagement help build trust and ensure that governance processes are inclusive and reflective of the community's needs. This aligns with Huxham and Vangen's findings that collaborative governance, driven by effective leadership, enhances transparency and accountability by fostering an environment of mutual trust and shared responsibility.

Moreover, the study found that successful local governments often integrated technology to support transparency initiatives. The use of online platforms for reporting, feedback, and real-time updates on government activities made information more readily available and accessible to the public. For example, some municipalities developed mobile applications that allowed residents to report issues, track the progress of their reports, and access government services. This technological integration not only streamlined communication but also increased the efficiency and responsiveness of local government operations.

Training and capacity building for government officials were also identified as crucial success factors. Continuous professional development ensured that officials were well-equipped to handle new transparency and accountability measures. Workshops, seminars, and training sessions focused on ethical leadership, transparency practices, and effective communication were found to be highly beneficial. In several cases, local governments that invested in such training programs reported higher levels of employee satisfaction and better compliance with transparency policies.

The study underscores the importance of strong and visionary leadership in promoting transparency and accountability within local government. Effective communication strategies, regular public engagement, stakeholder involvement, technological integration, and continuous training are key factors that contribute to successful governance. These findings are consistent with existing literature and highlight

the critical role of leadership and community involvement in achieving transparent and accountable governance. By adopting these practices, local governments can enhance their responsiveness to community needs and build greater public trust.

CONCLUSION

The study concludes that leadership plays a crucial role in enhancing transparency and accountability in local government. Transformational and participatory leadership styles are particularly effective in promoting these principles. However, leaders must address challenges such as resistance to change and limited resources to sustain these efforts. The findings provide valuable insights for local government leaders seeking to improve governance practices and build public trust through transparency and accountability.

This study identifies several key factors that influence the successful implementation of transparency and accountability in local government. Based on the findings, four main conclusions can be drawn:

1. Strong and Visionary Leadership:

   Strong and visionary leadership emerged as the most crucial factor in promoting transparency and accountability. Leaders who can articulate a clear vision for transparent and accountable governance and lead by example are more successful in implementing these principles. Ethical and transparent leadership creates an organizational culture that upholds the values of transparency and accountability, which are adopted by all employees.

2. Effective Communication Strategies:

   Effective communication strategies are essential in enhancing transparency and accountability. Utilizing various communication channels, such as town hall meetings, social media, and newsletters, ensures that information is accessible to all stakeholders. Open information flow helps demystify government operations and increases public trust in local government. Regular and clear communication makes the community feel more involved and confident that their concerns are being addressed.

3. Public and Stakeholder Engagement:

   Public and stakeholder engagement in decision-making processes is crucial for successful transparent and accountable governance. Local governments that actively seek public input and involve them in decision-making processes see better outcomes in terms of policy implementation and public satisfaction. Public forums and citizen advisory boards enable

direct interaction between government officials and the community, improving the quality of decisions and ensuring that policies reflect the needs and preferences of the community.

4. Technology Integration and Capacity Building:

Integrating technology and capacity building for government officials are also important factors in supporting transparency initiatives. The use of online platforms for reporting, feedback, and real-time updates on government activities makes information more accessible and transparent. Continuous professional development ensures that government officials are well-equipped to manage transparency and accountability measures effectively. Local governments that invest in training programs report higher levels of employee satisfaction and better compliance with transparency policies.

Overall, this study underscores the importance of strong leadership, effective communication strategies, public engagement, and the use of technology and capacity building in achieving transparent and accountable governance. These findings are consistent with existing literature and highlight the critical role of leadership and community involvement in realizing responsive and trustworthy government. By adopting these practices, local governments can enhance their responsiveness to community needs and build greater public trust.

Future research should explore the long-term impacts of these leadership practices on governance outcomes and identify additional strategies to overcome the challenges identified in this study. Practical recommendations for policymakers include investing in leadership development, fostering a culture of transparency and accountability, and ensuring inclusive decision-making processes.

REFERENCE

Agbodzakey, J. (2024). Collaborative governance and crisis management: A focus on COVID-19. Collaborative Governance Primer (pp. 147-157). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-57373-6_14

Agbodzakey, J. (2024). Commitment to the process of collaborative governance. Collaborative Governance Primer (pp. 81-89). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-57373-6_8

Agbodzakey, J. (2024). Conclusions on collaborative governance and solving complex public problems. Collaborative Governance Primer (pp. 171-176). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-57373-6_16

Agbodzakey, J. (2024). Conflict and other challenges in collaborative governance. Collaborative Governance Primer (pp. 121-131). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-57373-6_12

Agbodzakey, J. (2024). The reimagined role of the public manager in collaborative governance. Collaborative Governance Primer (pp. 159-169). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-57373-6_15

Barandiarán, X., Canel, M. J., & Bouckaert, G. (2023). Introduction. In Building Collaborative Governance in Times of Uncertainty (pp. 21-38). Universitaire Pers Leuven. https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv35r3v4r.6

Battisti, L., Cuomo, F., & Manganelli, A. (2024). Collaborative governance arrangements: What makes nature-based solutions endure? Territory, Politics, Governance, 1-21. https://doi.org/10.1080/21622671.2024.2355317

Bivona, E., & Noto, G. (2020). Fostering collaborative governance in chronic disease management programs: A dynamic performance management approach. In System Dynamics for Performance Management & Governance (pp. 297-315). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-42970-6_14

Cui, J. (2020). Collaborative Governance of Local Governments in China. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429054457

Das, A. K. (2021). Collaborative governance. In Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance (pp. 1-4). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-31816-5_1828-1

Das, A. K. (2022). Collaborative governance. In Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance (pp. 1772-1775). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-66252-3_1828

Douglas, S. (2024). Using collaborative performance summits to help both researchers and governance actors make sense of governance measures. In Handbook on Measuring Governance (pp. 216-227). Edward Elgar Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781802200645.00024

Gordon, G. (2021). Collaborative Governance and Unemployment in New Zealand: Two Case Studies. Victoria University of Wellington Library. https://doi.org/10.26686/wgtn.14716983.v1

Hestiana, M., & Lutfi, A. (2024). Collaborative governance in the development of Malapari plants as biodiesel feedstock (Analysis of collaborative governance readiness). Asian Journal of Social and Humanities, 2(5), 1184-1195. https://doi.org/10.59888/ajosh.v2i5.241

Hurvitz, I., & Rom, M. (2020). The 5x2 initiative for expanding STEM excellence in Israel: Collective impact as a model of collaborative governance. In Collaborative Governance (pp. 241-265). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45807-2_11

Koontz, T. M., & Thomas, C. W. (2021). Improving the use of science in collaborative governance. In Handbook of Collaborative Public Management. Edward Elgar Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781789901917.00034

Krantzberg, G. (2022). Collaborative governance for the development and implementation of revitalization plans to enhance and sustain ecosystem resilience. In Building Bridges (pp. 61-80). University of Ottawa Press. https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv2pwtnfx.7

Lee, H. (2022). Collaborative governance platforms and outcomes: An analysis of Clean Cities coalitions. Governance, 36(3), 805-825. https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12702

Sun, Y., & Li, W. (2023). Enhancing watershed governance through collaborative platforms: Evidence from ecological compensation governance in China. Research Square Platform LLC. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3380017/v1

Vignieri, V. (2020). Leveraging collaborative governance: How co-production contributes to outcomes and public value in a small town. In System Dynamics for Performance Management & Governance (pp. 47-71). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-42970-6_3

Yoon, J., & Ran, B. (2024). Systematic review on performance of collaborative governance. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4863448

Zaenuri, M. (2023). From participatory governance to collaborative governance for disaster-tourism. Sociología y tecnociencia, 13(1), 6-25. https://doi.org/10.24197/st.1.2023.6-25

Examining The Role Of Leadership In Improving Transparency And Accountability In Local Government

32	biblio.ugent.be Internet Source	<1%
33	ebin.pub Internet Source	<1%
34	administrativescience.com Internet Source	<1%
35	Submitted to Capella University Student Paper	<1%
36	Submitted to University of Johannsburg Student Paper	<1%
37	ajosh.org Internet Source	<1%
38	books.openedition.org Internet Source	<1%
39	ir.cut.ac.zw:8080 Internet Source	<1%
40	journal.csspublishing.com Internet Source	<1%
41	pure.au.dk Internet Source	<1%
42	www.scilit.net Internet Source	<1%
43	Griffen, Samantha Nicole. "Collaborative Public Management in Two Police	<1%

Departments to Address Cross-Jurisdictional Boundaries: A Descriptive Case Study", Northcentral University, 2022

Publication

Exclude quotes	Off		Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	Off			